

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



ANALYTICAL BIOCHEMISTRY

Analytical Biochemistry 356 (2006) 100-107

www.elsevier.com/locate/yabio

# A fluorescence polarization-based assay for peptidyl prolyl *cis/trans* isomerase cyclophilin A

Yaya Liu<sup>a,\*</sup>, Jianjun Jiang<sup>b</sup>, Paul L. Richardson<sup>b</sup>, Rajarathnam D. Reddy<sup>c</sup>, Donald D. Johnson<sup>c</sup>, Warren M. Kati<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Antiviral Research (R4CQ/AP52), Infectious Disease Research, Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL 60064, USA <sup>b</sup> Structural Biology (R46Y/AP10), Advanced Technology, Global Pharmaceutical Discovery, Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL 60064, USA <sup>c</sup> Division of Organic Chemistry (09MD/AP20), Abbott Diagnostic Division, Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL 60064, USA

> Received 10 March 2006 Available online 12 May 2006

#### Abstract

Peptidyl prolyl *cis/trans* isomerase cyclophilin A (CypA) serves as a cellular receptor for the important immunosuppressant drug, cyclosporin A. In addition, CypA and its enzyme family have been found to play critical roles in a variety of biological processes, including protein trafficking, HIV and HCV infection/replication, and  $Ca^{2+}$ -mediated intracellular signaling. For these reasons, cyclophilins have emerged as potential drug targets for several diseases. Therefore, it is extremely important to screen for novel small molecule cyclophilin inhibitors. Unfortunately, the biochemical assays reported so far are not adaptable to a high-throughput screening format. Here, we report a fluorescence polarization-based assay for human CypA that can be adapted to high-throughput screening for drug discovery. The technique is based on competition and uses a fluorescein-labeled cyclosporin A analog and purified human CypA to quantitatively measure the binding capacity of unlabeled inhibitors. Detection by fluorescence polarization allows real-time measurement of binding ratios without separation steps. The results obtained demonstrated significant correlation among assay procedures, suggesting that the application of fluorescence polarization in combination with CypA is highly advantageous for the accurate assessment of inhibitor binding. © 2006 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Fluorescence polarization; Peptidyl prolyl cis/trans isomerase; Cyclophilin A; Cyclosporin A

Fluorescence polarization  $(FP)^1$  measurements have long been a valuable biophysical research tool for investigating processes such as membrane lipid mobility, myosin reorientation, and protein–protein interactions at the molecular level [1–3]. Immunoassays represent the largest

group of bioanalytical applications, having been developed and used extensively for clinical diagnostics [4,5]. The more recent advent of microplate readers equipped with polarizing optics has led to the adoption of fluorescence polarization as a readout mode for high-throughput screening (HTS) [6,7]. FP measurements provide information on molecular orientation and mobility and processes that modulate them, including receptor-ligand interactions, proteolysis, protein-DNA interactions, membrane fluidity, and muscle contraction. Because polarization is a general property of fluorescent molecules, polarization-based readouts are somewhat less dye dependent and less susceptible to environmental interferences such as pH changes than are assays based on fluorescence intensity measurements. Here, we report our FP assay development work for human peptidyl prolyl *cis/trans* isomerase cyclophilin A (CypA).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Fax: +1 847 938 2756.

E-mail address: yaya.liu@abbott.com (Y. Liu).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Abbreviations used: FP, fluorescence polarization; HTS, high-throughput screening; CypA, cyclophilin A; CsA, cyclosporin A; MeBmt, 4*R*-4-([E]-2butenyl)-4-*N*-methyl-L-threonine; CN, calcineurin; PPIase, peptidyl prolyl *cis/trans* isomerase; Trp, tryptophan; hCypA, human CypA; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; cps, counts per second; Cs-CMO, cyclosporine-carboxymethyloxime; 6-AMF, 6-aminomethylfluorescein; DMF, *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide; DIEA, *N*,*N*-diisopropylethylamine; THF, tetrahydrofuran; HOBt, *N*-hydroxybenzotriazole; EDAC, *N*-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-*N*'ethylcarbodiimide; TFA, trifluoroacetic acid; MALDI–TOF MS, matrixassisted laser/desorption ionization time-of-flight MS.

The immunosuppressant drug cyclosporin A (CsA) is a cyclic hydrophobic undecapeptide that contains seven N-methyl amino acid residues and the unusual amino acid 4*R*-4-([E]-2-butenyl)-4-*N*-methyl-L-threonine (MeBmt) in position 1 (Scheme 1). CsA is produced by the fungus Tolypocladium inflatum and was first isolated in 1976 by workers at Sandoz [8]. The structure of CsA has been confirmed by total synthesis [9]. The conformation of CsA (free in solution and bound to cyclophilin) has been solved by NMR [10] and X-ray crystallography [11]. CsA exerts its immunosuppressive effect by binding to CypA [12]. The binding of CsA to CypA involves extensive interactions from the amino acid residues at positions 9, 10, 11, 1, and 2, as highlighted in red in Scheme 1. The resulting CsA-CypA complex binds to calcineurin (CN), a Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulindependent phosphatase, and inhibits its enzyme activity [13]. The amino acid residues making contact with CN are highlighted in blue in Scheme 1. CsA is widely used to prevent and treat organ transplantation rejection and has potential therapeutic applications in the treatment of diseases such as asthma, psoriasis, atopic dermatitis, and rheumatoid arthritis [14]. However, CsA at doses used to produce a clinical effect is associated with side effects such as nephrotoxicity,



hypertension, hepatotoxicity, anemia, and gastrointestinal

intolerance [15-17]. There is also evidence to suggest a corre-

Scheme 1. CsA structure and its amino acid residues interacting with CypA and CN.

lation between CN inhibition and many CsA-induced toxicities [18,19]. This mechanism-based toxicity presents unique challenges for improving the therapeutic index of CsA and underscores the strong need for identifying new nonimmunosuppressant small molecule cyclophilin ligands. To this end, we report here an FP assay that, for the first time, makes HTS efforts for CypA possible.

The enzymatic peptidyl prolyl isomerase (PPIase) activity of CypA is generally assessed by assays that are based on chymotrypsin-coupled isomer-specific proteolysis using the tetrapeptide derivatives Suc-Ala-Xaa-Pro-Yaa-paranitro-anilides by UV-Vis spectroscopy or using Suc-Ala-Xaa-Pro-Yaa-amino-methyl-coumarins by fluorescence spectroscopy, as illustrated in Scheme 2 [20]. An uncoupled protease-free assay of PPIase activity based on minor absorption coefficients of cis and trans conformers of tetrapeptide anilides remote from far UV peptide absorption has also been described [21]. However, the uncatalyzed spontaneous *cis/trans* isomerization rate is extremely fast, with half lives of 4.6s at 37 °C, 74s at 10 °C, and 346s at 0°C [22], making these activity-based assays not useful for HTS. A fluorescence competition assay using [D-Lys (Dsn)]<sup>8</sup>-CsA as probe has been reported for determining the equilibrium constant of the spectroscopically invisible ligand [23]. The intrinsic tryptophan (Trp) fluorescence of CypA increases two- to threefold on CsA binding and can also offer a good measurement of equilibrium binding constant of the spectroscopically invisible ligand binding to the CsA pocket. Unfortunately, most of the small molecule compound libraries contain a significant number of colored compounds and make these fluorescence intensity-based assays not optimal for HTS efforts. Recently, a surface plasmon resonance-based assay was also reported for human CypA (hCypA) [24]. However, this assay again does not meet the criteria for an HTS assay.

To overcome the difficulties of current assay procedures and further facilitate the drug discovery effort for CypA, we have developed a fluorescence polarization-based assay for hCypA that can be adapted to HTS. The technique is based on competition and uses a fluorescein-labeled CsA analog and purified hCypA to quantitatively measure the binding capacity of nonlabeled inhibitors. Detection by fluorescence



Scheme 2. Chymotrypsin-coupled PPIase-catalyzed cis/trans isomerase assays.

polarization allows real-time measurement of binding ratios without separation steps. The FP assay uses a fluoresceinlabeled CsA analog as probe. The parallel and perpendicular intensities of the probe are linear from 0.01 to 2 nM. The mP signals are between 240 and 70 and reach a plateau at 2 nM. The probe binds to CypA at a  $K_d$  value of 500 nM. The FP competition assay provides an accurate activity determination for CypA inhibitors and gives results comparable to those of the coupled spectrophotometric, coupled fluorogenic, and Trp fluorescence enhancement assays. More important, for the first time, the FP competition assay would allow the identification of weaker inhibitors in an HTS format that could serve as scaffolds for further rational structure-based drug design.

#### Materials and methods

hCypA was cloned and purified as described previously [25]. The CypA substrates Suc-AAPF-2, 4-difluoroanilide for direct spectrophotometric assay, and Suc-ALPF-AMC for coupled fluorogenic assay were obtained from Bachem, and their purities were greater than 95% as judged by HPLC analysis. Cyclosporins B, C, D, and H were obtained from Fujian Kerui Pharmaceutical, and their purities were greater than 95% as judged by HPLC.

#### Coupled fluorogenic assay for IC<sub>50</sub> measurement

The reactions were started by the addition of  $4 \mu l$  of 2.5 mM Suc-ALPF-AMC to 200 µl of 50 mM Hepes (pH 7.8), 0.15 mg/ml α-chymotrypsin, 3-6 nM hCypA, and various concentrations of inhibitor in 10% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at 4°C. The reactions were monitored at an excitation wavelength of 380 nm and an emission wavelength of 460 nm for 5 min on an ICN Titertek Fluoroskan II 96-well microtiter fluorescence plate reader at ambient temperature using white microfluor U-bottom plates obtained from Dynex.  $k_{obs}$  rates were determined from the first-order curve fitting using Deltasoft data collecting and analyzing software (BioMetallics), and  $IC_{50}$ values were determined from the equation of percentage inhibition at various inhibitor concentrations  $(inhibition\% = 100[I]/([I] + [IC_{50}])).$ 

### Direct spectrophotometric assay for IC<sub>50</sub> measurement

The reactions were started by the addition of  $5 \mu l$  of 20 mM Suc-AAPF-2,4-difluoroanilide to  $500 \mu l$  of 50 mM Hepes (pH 7.8), 13 nM hCypA, and various concentrations of inhibitor in 10% DMSO at 4°C. The reactions were monitored at a wavelength of 246 nm for 5 min on a Beckman DU640 spectrophotometer. The data were then exported into KaleidaGraph software. The  $k_{obs}$  rates were determined from first-order decay, and IC<sub>50</sub> values were determined from the equation of percentage inhibition at various inhibitor concentrations (inhibition%=100[I]/ ([I]+[IC<sub>50</sub>])).

#### Trp quenching assay for $K_d$ measurement

Four hundred microliters of 50 mM Hepes (pH 7.8), 10% DMSO, and 328 nM hCypA was titrated with increasing amount of inhibitors. The Trp fluorescence was excited at 280 nm, and an emission scan was taken from 300 to 400 nm on a SPEX FluoroMax. The fluorescence intensities at 350 nm at various inhibitor concentrations were fit to the quadratic equation  $(F^0 + ((F - F^0)/[I])*(([CypA] + K_d + [I]) - sqrt(([CypA] + K_d + [I]) -)^{\land} 2-4*[CypA]*[I])/2)$  to provide the  $K_d$  using KaleidaGraph software.

## Fluorescence polarization competition assay for $K_d$ measurement

FP measurements were performed on an Analyst AD Assay Detection System (Molecular Devices) using a continuous high-intensity, xenon-arc lamp as light source with filter settings suitable for fluorescein excitation (485 nm) and emission (530 nm). As a standard reading configuration, the excitation polarization filter was set in the S (static) position, whereas the emission polarization filter was dynamically polarizing the light in either the S or P (perpendicular) orientation. A fluorescein dichroic mirror (505 nm) was used to direct the polarized light into the assay well. Emitted polarized light was detected by the fluorescence photomultiplier tube with the SmartRead, sensitivity 2 setup option in counts per second (cps). Two intensity measurements were collected for each well: one when the dynamic polarizer was in the S position and one when the polarizer was in the P position. For a standardized assay setup, each individual well of a black 96-well LJL HE PS microplate (Molecular Devices) was loaded with 200 µl of 50 mM Hepes (pH 7.8), 0.5-1 µM hCypA (3-6 µl of 32.8 µM stock), 1–10 nM of fluorescein-labeled CsA analog, and various inhibitor concentrations in 10% DMSO. The reactions were read in an LJL instrument from Molecular Devices for 100 min. The average mP signals at various inhibitor concentrations were fit to the equation for competitive binding [26] in Excel to obtain the  $K_d$  value.

#### Results

#### Synthesis of cyclosporine-carboxymethyloxime

Cyclosporine-carboxymethyloxime (Cs-CMO, 1) was synthesized from CsA (3) in three steps, as described in Scheme 3 [27–30]. CsA (3) was treated with acetic anhydride in pyridine in the presence of 4-N,N-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) at room temperature for 16 h. The Cs-acetate (4) was isolated by flash chromatography (2% methanol in dichloromethane) in 91% yield. The Cs-acetate (4) was then treated with osmium tetroxide and sodium periodate in 1,4-dioxane-water (1:1 ratio) at room temperature for 16 h, followed by treatment of the intermediate aldehyde with carboxymethoxylamine hemihydrochloride at room temperature for 45 min. The compound (5) was



Scheme 3. Synthesis of Cy-CMO (1).

isolated by flash chromatography (5% methanol in dichloromethane) in 81% yield. Finally, the acetate group in compound **5** was cleaved by treatment with sodium methoxide in methanol at room temperature for 5.5 h, followed by HPLC purification and lyophilization to afford the desired Cs-CMO (1) in 58% yield and 96.3% purity.



Scheme 4. Synthesis of 6-AMF (2).

#### Synthesis of 6-aminomethylfluorescein

6-Aminomethylfluorescein (6-AMF, 2) was prepared from a mixture of 5/6-carboxyfluorescein (6) in six steps, as described in Scheme 4 [31,32]. First, the 5/6-carboxyfluorescein (6) was treated with trimethylacetic anhydride in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) in the presence of N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIEA) at room temperature for 48 h. The bis-pivalyl derivative (7) was isolated as a mixture of 5- and 6-isomers by extractive workup in greater than 95% yield. The carboxylic acid group in bispivalyl derivative (7) was then converted to its acid chloride by treatment with oxalyl chloride in DMF and dichloromethane, which was then reduced to the corresponding alcohol using lithium borohydride in tetrahydrofuran (THF) at low temperature. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (10% ether in dichloromethane), and the product (8 as 5- and 6-isomers) was isolated in 26 and 23% yield, respectively. The 6-isomer of 8 was then treated with  $HN(Cbz)_2$  (9, which was separately prepared from benzyl carbamate in two steps) in the presence of triphenylphosphine and diisopropyl azodicarboxylate in THF. The bis-Cbz compound (10) was isolated in 66% yield by flash chromatography (30% ethyl acetate in hexanes). The pivalyl groups in 10 were cleaved by treatment with ammonium hydroxide in methanol and dichloromethane at room temperature for 8 h to afford a mixture of **11a** and **11b** in 71% yield. Finally, the mixture of 11a and 11b was treated with 30% HBr in acetic acid to afford the desired 6-AMF (2) as its HBr salt in 87% yield and 96.8% purity.

#### Synthesis of fluorescein-labeled CsA analog

To Cs-CMO (1, 17.5 mg, 0.014 mmol) dissolved in anhydrous DMF (5 ml, Applied Biosystems) were added 6-AMF (2, 5.0 mg, 0.014 mmol), *N*-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt, 2.8 mg, 0.021 mmol, Aldrich), *N*-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-*N'*-ethylcarbodiimide (EDAC, 4 mg, 0.021 mmol, Sigma), and DIEA (15 mg, 0.056 mmol, Applied Biosystems). The reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 18 h, diluted with 1.0 ml of 90% DMSO in water, and purified by reverse-phase HPLC using Waters Delta Pak C<sub>18</sub> stationary phase eluted with a linear gradient of 20–100% acetonitrile in 80 min with 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in water to give FP probe (Scheme 5) as a bright yellow powder (8.2 mg, 37%); matrix-assisted laser/desorption ionization time-of-flight MS (MALDI– TOF MS): m/z = 1628.5 (calculated 1628.9 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>).

#### FP probe identification

The challenges posed by the lack of functional groups and the structure complexity of CsA leave a rather limited opportunity for semisynthetic chemistry modifications. The intensity-based [D-Lys(Dns)]<sup>8</sup>-CsA probe was accomplished through a total synthesis of D-Lys<sup>8</sup>-CsA [33]. We were able to semisynthesize several CsA analogs through the chemical modification of the MeBmt group at position 1 of CsA and test them in the traditional coupled activity assay. The structure and activities for these three analogs are shown in Scheme 5. Modifications of the hydroxyl group of the MeBmt side chain in CsA analogs 1 and 2 were less favorable. The CsA analog 3 was found to inhibit the CypA PPIase activity at 130 nM. Therefore, CsA analog 3 was chosen to be linked with the fluorescein molecule to make the FP assay probe. When the FP probe was assayed in the activity assay, an  $IC_{50}$  of 0.49  $\mu$ M (Fig. 1) was obtained.



Fig. 1. IC<sub>50</sub> plot of fluorescein-labeled CsA analog in the coupled fluorogenic assay. Inhib, inhibition.



Scheme 5. Structures and activities of several CsA analogs.

#### *FP* competition assay development

The parallel and perpendicular intensities of the FP probe were analyzed at various probe concentrations. The parallel and perpendicular intensities of the probe are linear from 0.01 to 2 nM. The mP signals are between 240 and 70 and reach a plateau at 2 nM (Fig. 2). When using 1 nM of the probe and various concentrations of hCypA, we obtained a saturating binding curve with a  $K_d$  of 0.5  $\mu$ M (Fig. 3). The FP competition assay was set up to use 1 nM



Fig. 2. Fluorescence intensities and mP of the fluorescein-labeled CsA analog at concentration ranges from 0.01 to 0.2 nM ( $\bullet$ ) and from 0.2 to 2 nM ( $\bullet$ ). (A) FP probe parallel intensity versus probe concentration. (B) FP probe perpendicular intensity versus probe concentration. (C) FP probe mP versus probe concentration.



Fig. 3. Binding curve of fluorescein-labeled CsA analog to CypA.



Fig. 4. Binding curve of CsA in the FP competition assay.

of the FP probe and  $0.5 \,\mu\text{M}$  of the CypA. When various concentrations of CsA were included, the mP of the probe decreased with the increasing concentration of CsA and provided a  $K_d$  of 14 nM in this FP competition assay (Fig. 4).

#### FP competition assay validation

Four CsA analogs—CsB, CsC, CsD, and CsH—and CsA itself were tested in FP competition assays, activitybased coupled fluorogenic assay, activity-based direct spectrophotometric assay, and binding-based Trp enhancement assays. The  $K_d$  and IC<sub>50</sub> values are summarized in Table 1. As seen from the table, the  $K_d$  values of the CsA analogs obtained in the FP competition assay were in excellent agreement with IC<sub>50</sub> or  $K_d$  values obtained by the other assays.

#### Conclusion

The identification of nonimmunosuppressive CypA binders has important implications for preventive and/or therapeutic applications in infectious diseases and other diseases. As such, a body of knowledge enhancing the ability to identify and design novel small molecules that bind to CypA has emerged [34,35,24]. In the absence of an HTS assay, a scientist must rely on other approaches, such as "virtual screening" and rational structure-based drug design, for identifying inhibitors of CypA [36,37]. In this article, we have reported a fluorescence polarization-based

Table 1

Comparison of IC<sub>50</sub> values from the coupled and direct activity assays and  $K_d$  values from the Trp enhancement and FP competition assays

Cyclosporin	$IC_{50}$ (Coupled fluorogenic) ( $\mu M$ )	$IC_{50}$ (Direct spectrophotometric) ( $\mu M$ )	$K_{\rm d}$ (Trp enhancement) ( $\mu$ M)	$K_{\rm d}$ (Fluorescence polarization) ( $\mu$ M)
CsA	$0.010 \pm 0.004$	$0.013 \pm 0.006$	$0.042 \pm 0.050$	$0.011 \pm 0.001$
CsB	$0.089 \pm 0.007$	$0.086 \pm 0.012$	$0.061 \pm 0.076$	$0.107 \pm 0.002$
CsC	$0.029\pm0.008$	$0.018\pm0.006$	$0.058 \pm 0.120$	$0.035 \pm 0.001$
CsD	$0.19 \pm 0.03$	$0.078 \pm 0.014$	$0.098\pm0.091$	$0.186 \pm 0.003$
CsH	$3.3 \pm 0.6$	$11.7 \pm 3.4$	>10	>10

assay for hCypA that can be adapted to HTS for drug discovery. In general, competition assays measure the binding of a labeled ligand (also called reference or tracer peptide) in the presence of various concentrations of an unlabeled ligand (also called the competitor or inhibitor) to CypA. The advantage of this approach is that, because only a reference ligand is labeled, an adverse effect on affinity potentially caused by the labeling process does not affect the comparison of the unlabeled ligands. This type of assay is not only conceptually simple but also a sensitive and most viable alternative for high-throughput applications.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Jean Severin for providing the CypA protein. We also thank Kerry Swift and Ed Matayoshi for helpful discussion on FP assay development.

#### References

- L.M. Levine, M.L. Michener, M.V. Toth, B.C. Holwerda, Measurement of specific protease activity utilizing fluorescence polarization, Anal. Biochem. 247 (1997) 83–88.
- [2] D.M. Hercules (Ed.), Fluorescence and Phosphorescence Analysis, Wiley–Interscience, New York, 1966, p. 217.
- [3] C.R. Cantor, P.R. Schimmel (Eds.), Biophysical Chemistry, part 2: Techniques for the Study of Biological Structure and Function, W.H. Freeman, New York, 1980, p. 454.
- [4] P. Wu, M. Brasseur, U. Schindler, A high-throughput STAT binding assay using fluorescence polarization, Anal. Biochem. 249 (1997) 29–36.
- [5] B.A. Lynch, K.A. Loiacono, C.L. Tiong, S.E. Adams, I.A. MacNeil, A fluorescence polarization based Src-SH2 binding assay, Anal. Biochem. 247 (1997) 77–82.
- [6] P. Banks, M. Gosselin, L. Prystay, Impact of a red-shifted dye label for high throughput fluorescence polarization assays of G proteincoupled receptors, J. Biomol. Screen. 5 (2000) 329–334.
- [7] D. Nouel, G. Gaudriault, M. Houle, T. Reisine, J.P. Vincent, J. Mazella, A. Beaudet, Differential internalization of somatostatin in COS-7 cells transfected with SST1 and SST2 receptor subtypes: a confocal microscopic study using novel fluorescent somatostatin derivatives, Endocrinology 138 (1997) 296–306.
- [8] M. Dreyfuss, E. Harri, H. Hofmann, H. Kobell, W. Pache, H. Tscherter, Cyclosporin A and C: new metabolites from *Trichoderma polysporum*, Eur. J. Appl. Microbiol. 3 (1976) 125–133.
- [9] R.M. Wenger, Synthesis of cyclosporine: total synthesis of "cyclosporin A" and "cyclosporin H"—Two fungal metabolites isolated from the species *Tolypocladium inflatum* GAMS, Helv. Chim. Acta 67 (1984) 502–525.
- [10] C. Spitzfaden, W. Braun, G. Wider, H. Widmer, K. Wüthrich, Determination of the NMR solution structure of the cyclophilin A-cyclosporin A complex, J. Biomol. NMR 4 (1994) 463–482.
- [11] V. Mikol, J. Kallen, G. Pflügl, M.D. Walkinshaw, X-ray structure of a monomeric cyclophilin A-cyclosporin A crystal complex at 2.1 A resolution, J. Mol. Biol. 234 (1993) 1119–1130.

- [12] A. Matouschek, S. Rospert, K. Schmid, B.S. Glick, G. Schatz, Cyclophilin catalyzes protein folding in yeast mitochondria, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92 (1995) 6319–6323.
- [13] A. Rao, C. Luo, P.G. Hogan, Transcription factors of the NFAT family: regulation and function, Annu. Rev. Immunol. 15 (1997) 707–747.
- [14] D. Faulds, K.L. Goa, P. Benfield, Cyclosporin: a review of its pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties, and therapeutic use in immunoregulatory disorders, Drugs 45 (1993) 953–1040.
- [15] D.N. Rush, Cyclosporine toxicity to organs other than the kidney, Clin. Biochem. 24 (1991) 101–105.
- [16] P.A. Keown, C.R. Stiller, A.C. Wallace, Effect of cyclosporine on the kidney, J. Pediatr. 111 (1987) 1029–1033.
- [17] G. Remuzzi, T. Bertani, Renal vascular and thrombotic effects of cyclosporine, Am. J. Kidney Dis. 13 (1989) 261–272.
- [18] S. Ho, N. Clipstone, L. Timmermann, J. Northrop, I. Graef, D. Fiorentino, J. Nourse, G.R. Crabtree, The mechanism of action of cyclosporin A and FK506, Clin. Immunol. Immunopathol. 80 (1996) S40–S45.
- [19] T. Lyson, L.D. Ermel, P.J. Belshaw, D.G. Alberg, S.L. Schreiber, R.G. Victor, Cyclosporine- and FK506-induced sympathetic activation correlates with calcineurin-mediated inhibition of T-cell signaling, Circ. Res. 73 (1998) 596–602.
- [20] J.L. Kofron, P. Kuzmic, V. Kishore, E. Colón-Bonilla, D.H. Rich, Determination of kinetic constants for peptidyl prolyl *cis-trans* isomerases by an improved spectrophotometric assay, Biochemistry 30 (1991) 6127–6134.
- [21] B. Janowski, S. Wöllner, M. Schutkowski, G. Fischer, A protease-free assay for peptidyl prolyl *cis/trans* isomerases using standard peptide substrates, Anal. Biochem. 252 (1997) 299–307.
- [22] G. Fischer, H. Bang, E. Berger, A. Schellenberger, Conformational specificity of chymotrypsin toward proline-containing substrates, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 791 (1984) 87–97.
- [23] P. Kuzmic, M.L. Moss, J.L. Kofron, D.H. Rich, Fluorescence displacement method for the determination of receptor–ligand binding constants, Anal. Biochem. 205 (1992) 65–69.
- [24] M.A. Wear, A. Patterson, K. Malone, C. Dunsmore, N.J. Turner, M.D. Walkinshaw, A surface plasmon resonance-based assay for small molecule inhibitors of human cyclophilin A, Anal. Biochem. 345 (2005) 214–226.
- [25] T.F. Holzman, D.A. Egan, R. Edalji, R.L. Simmer, R. Helfrich, A. Taylor, N.S. Burres, Preliminary characterization of a cloned neutral isoelectric form of the human peptidyl prolyl isomerase cyclophilin, J. Biol. Chem. 266 (1991) 2474–2479.
- [26] Z.X. Wang, An exact mathematical expression for describing competitive binding of two different ligands to a protein molecule, FEBS Lett. 360 (1995) 111–114.
- [27] M.K. Eberle, F. Nuninger, Synthesis of the main metabolite (OL-17) of cyclosporin A, J. Org. Chem. 57 (1992) 2689–2691.
- [28] V. Traber, H.R. Loosli, H. Hofmann, M. Kuhn, A. Wartburg, Isolation and structure determination of the new cyclosporins E, F, G, H, and I, Helv. Chim. Acta 65 (1982) 1665–1677.
- [29] S.S. Naicker, R.W. Yatscoff, R.T. Foster, International patent WO 99/ 18120, CA:130:267775.
- [30] S.B. Park, G.P. Meier, A semi-synthetic approach to olefinic analogs of amino acid one (MeBMT) in cyclosporin A, Tetrahedron Lett. 30 (1989) 4215–4218.
- [31] P.G. Mattingly, Preparation of 5- and 6-(aminomethyl)fluorescein, Bioconj. Chem. 3 (1992) 430–431.

- [32] M. Adamczyk, C.M. Chan, J.R. Fino, P.G. Mattingly, Synthesis of 5- and 6-hydroxymethylfluorescein phosphoramidites, J. Org. Chem. 65 (2000) 596–601.
- [33] W.J. Colucci, R.D. Tung, J.A. Petri, D.H. Rich, Synthesis of D-lysinecyclosporine A: further characterization of BOP-Cl in the 2-7 hexapeptide fragment synthesis, J. Org. Chem. 55 (1990) 2895–2903.
- [34] S.R. Bartz, E. Hohenwalter, M.K. Hu, D.H. Rich, M. Malkovsky, Inhibition of human immunodeficiency virus replication by nonimmunosuppressive analogs of cyclosporin A, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92 (1995) 5381–5385.
- [35] M. Nakagawa, N. Sakamoto, Y. Tanabe, T. Koyama, Y. Itsui, Y. Takeda, C.H. Chen, S. Kakinuma, S. Oooka, S. Maekawa, N. Enomoto,

M. Watanabe, Suppression of hepatitis C virus replication by cyclosporin A is mediated by blockade of cyclophilins, Gastroenterology 129 (2005) 1031–1041.

- [36] Y.Q. Wu, S. Belyakov, C. Choi, D. Limburg, E. Thomas, M. Vaal, L. Wei, D.E. Wilkinson, A. Holmes, M. Fuller, J. McCormick, M. Connolly, T. Moeller, J. Steiner, G.S. Hamilton, Synthesis and biological evaluation of non-peptidic cyclophilin ligands, J. Med. Chem. 46 (2003) 1112–1115.
- [37] J.P. Germanas, K. Kim, J.P. Dumas, Toward rationally designed peptidyl prolyl isomerase inhibitors, in: Advances in Amino Acid Mimetics and Peptidomimetics, vol. 1, JAI, Greenwich, CT, 1997, pp. 233–250.